Psychology of Women Quarterly http://pwq.sagepub.com/

"It's a Comparison Thing, Isn't It?" : Lesbian and Bisexual Women's Accounts of How Partner Relationships Shape Their Feelings About Their Body and Appearance

Caroline J. Huxley, Victoria Clarke and Emma Halliwell Psychology of Women Quarterly 2011 35: 415 DOI: 10.1177/0361684311410209

The online version of this article can be found at: http://pwq.sagepub.com/content/35/3/415

Published by:

\$SAGE

http://www.sagepublications.com

On behalf of:



Society for the Psychology of Women

Additional services and information for Psychology of Women Quarterly can be found at:

Email Alerts: http://pwq.sagepub.com/cgi/alerts

Subscriptions: http://pwq.sagepub.com/subscriptions

Reprints: http://www.sagepub.com/journalsReprints.nav

Permissions: http://www.sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav

>> Version of Record - Aug 31, 2011

What is This?

"It's a Comparison Thing, Isn't It?": Lesbian and Bisexual Women's Accounts of How Partner Relationships Shape Their Feelings About Their Body and Appearance

Psychology of Women Quarterly 35(3) 415-427
© The Author(s) 2011
Reprints and permission: sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/0361684311410209
http://pwq.sagepub.com



Caroline J. Huxley¹, Victoria Clarke², and Emma Halliwell²

Abstract

Women's feelings about their body and their appearance are an important aspect of their lives, yet little is known about the ways in which partner relationships shape these feelings. There has been some debate about whether or not same-sex relationships offer protection to nonheterosexual (lesbian and bisexual) women from potentially harmful social appearance pressures, but there has been little empirical exploration of this issue. We contribute to the debate by presenting findings from a British qualitative study based on interviews with 15 nonheterosexual women talking about their feelings about their bodies and their appearance in the context of partner relationships. These accounts were analyzed using a phenomenologically oriented form of thematic analysis (TA) and seven main themes were generated. The women suggested that same-sex relationships were both positive and negative influences in shaping their feelings about their body and appearance, highlighting the complexity of this issue. However, positive descriptions of empathy toward body and appearance concerns as well as diversity within same-sex attractions suggest that same-sex relationships have the potential to encourage women to feel happier with their bodies. This analysis also suggests that the theoretical debate is too simplistic and that a synthesized explanation should be explored in future research.

Keywords

body image, lesbianism, bisexuality, physical attractiveness, appearance, interpersonal relationships, interpersonal influences

An increasingly large body of research shows that women's thoughts and feelings about their body size and shape (their "body image") and their broader appearance (such as clothing choices, hair styles, make-up, and jewelry) are related to their psychological, social, and sexual well-being (Davison & McCabe, 2005). Women in Western cultures are under social pressure to conform to heteronormative ideals that purportedly represent what (heterosexual) men find desirable in women (Bordo, 1993). Generally, lesbians are not concerned with being attractive to men and do not desire romantic/sexual relationships with men (Rothblum, 1994). Therefore, it has been suggested that they are somewhat protected from experiencing social pressures to conform to such ideals (Brown, 1987). In this article, we present the first known British study to (phenomenologically) explore nonheterosexual (lesbian and bisexual) women's perceptions regarding how their partner relationships shape their feelings about their own body and appearance.

Women's Sexuality and Their Feelings About Their Body and Appearance

Fredrickson and Roberts (1997) argued that women are sexually objectified in Western culture because they are continually evaluated through the *male gaze* for their conformity to mainstream social "beauty" ideals. In this culture of evaluation, women begin to internalize the (heterosexual male) observers' perspective and self-objectify, which leads

Corresponding Author:

Caroline J. Huxley, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill, Coventry, CV4 7AL, United Kingdom Email: caroline.huxley@warwick.ac.uk

¹ Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom

² Department of Psychology, University of the West of England, Bristol, United Kingdom

to body and appearance dissatisfaction (Fredrickson & Roberts, 1997). More recently, feminist author Ros Gill (2008, p. 41) has described a shift from objectification to sexual "subjectification." She argued that whereas traditional objectifying images of passive women still exist, more frequently women are presented as active, desiring sexual subjects who aim to please themselves and whose body gives them sexual power over men. Despite this shift, Gill argued that the process still results in women self-objectifying and experiencing body and appearance dissatisfaction (Fredrickson & Roberts, 1997).

Theorizing about objectification tends to focus on heterosexual women (Moradi & Huang, 2008). Because lesbians (and bisexual women) may not be as concerned as heterosexual women in gaining (heterosexual) men's approval (Rothblum, 1994), such theorizing may not be entirely applicable to nonheterosexual women (Haines et al., 2008). According to Brown (1987), lesbians are empowered to reject the mainstream veneration of thinness because of their attraction to, and relationships with, other women. By loving women of diverse body shapes and sizes (different from the culturally idealized thin body), lesbians can begin to appreciate their own body size and shape. In contrast, Dworkin (1988) argued that lesbians are not protected from social pressures because they (like all other women) live in mainstream society, and therefore their appearance is compared (by others and themselves) to current cultural beauty ideals. For that reason, same-sex relationships cannot protect women from wishing and striving to embody beauty ideals (Dworkin, 1988). Essentially these perspectives differ in the value they place on different social contexts (the lesbian subcultural context or the wider heteronormative social context) and how these shape women's body concerns. Both of these arguments overlook bisexual women's experiences, and there is little discussion as to how their relationships and the social contexts they inhabit may shape their appearance concerns.

Research focusing on these issues is primarily quantitative and is concerned with potential differences between lesbian and heterosexual women. Such research has produced mixed conclusions: some studies have found that lesbians report significantly higher levels of body satisfaction than heterosexual women (e.g., Polimeni, Austin, & Kavanagh, 2009; Strong, Williamson, Netemeyer, & Geer, 2000), whereas others have found no such differences (e.g., Legenbauer et al., 2009; Wagenbach, 2003). To date, no known research has found that lesbians report lower levels of body satisfaction than heterosexual women. In terms of objectification, lesbians have reported less body surveillance (i.e., how often a woman monitors and prioritizes her appearance) than heterosexual women, despite similarities in awareness of being sexually objectified (Hill & Fischer, 2008). This pattern suggests that although all women are similarly aware of a sexualized male gaze, lesbian (and perhaps also bisexual) women may be less concerned about conforming to societal beauty norms.

Lesbian and Bisexual Women's Appearance Norms

It is not the case, however, that appearance is irrelevant and that lesbians (and bisexual women) are unconcerned with appearance. Esther Rothblum (1994) argued that appearance norms have always existed in lesbian communities. Research within both the United Kingdom and the United States has suggested that lesbian communities have appearance norms that differ from mainstream norms (Clarke & Turner, 2007; Krakauer & Rose, 2002) and that the most recognizable of these centre on a *butch* or masculine appearance (including "comfortable" shoes and short hair). However, the butch norm is not monolithic, and there are many different ways to embody butch style (Levitt & Hiestand, 2004). In contrast, *femme* lesbians are often invisible as lesbians and are frequently misread as heterosexual (Lev, 2008).

Historically, relationships between butch and femme lesbians (Walker, 2001) frequently involved a "role-playing" element (Eves, 2004; Vanska, 2005). For example, looking butch meant acting butch and performing male roles (Crawley, 2002). However, this connection between appearance and role does not necessarily exist for contemporary butch women (Eves, 2004). Although butch/femme appearances and associated identities were popular (particularly within working class lesbian communities) in the early half of the 20th century (Faderman, 1991), radical feminist critique of their apparent replication of heterosexual gender roles in relationships between butch and femme women led to butch/femme identities being marginalized in the 1970s (Walker, 2001) in favor of an androgynous norm (Rothblum, 1994). Other theorists have argued that these women were not seeking to mimic heterosexuality but were instead asserting an alternative version of accepted heteronormative relationships (Davis & Kennedy, 1986; Nguyen, 2008). More recently, Eves (2004) reported that the popularity of butch/ femme appearances was returning with the advent of Queer Theory as a form of radical political resistance to expectations of gender normativity. Queer theorists argue that butch/femme appearances do not mimic heterosexuality but instead represent subversive desire (Nguyen, 2008).

Adherence to butch or androgynous appearance norms can signal a nonheterosexual identity to (nonheterosexual) others (Clarke & Turner, 2007), providing opportunities to access nonheterosexual social spaces and meet other nonheterosexual women (Holliday, 1999). However, being visibly recognized as a nonheterosexual woman can result in negative consequences, including social stigma and homophobic physical and verbal abuse (Kelly, 2007). Butch or androgynous women can be regarded with hostility in spaces reserved for normative feminine women such as changing rooms (Eves, 2004). As for bisexual women, research generally suggests

that visual recognition is not easy to achieve because no well-known, identifiable bisexual "look" exists (Clarke & Turner, 2007). Due to this lack of specific bisexual appearance norms, bisexual women may draw on lesbian or heterosexual styles (Taub, 1999).

Partner Relationships and Feelings about Body and Appearance

As previously suggested, differences between lesbian, bisexual, and heterosexual women's feelings about their body and appearance may (at least in part) be explained by the sex/gender of their partner (Brown, 1987). Existing quantitative research on body image and partner relationships has demonstrated how heterosexual women's perceptions that a male partner prefers a body shape different from her own (Halliwell & Dittmar, 2006) and negative appearance-related feedback from a male partner (Befort et al., 2001) are related to women's body dissatisfaction. Similarly, qualitative research has suggested that positive feedback from male partners has benefits in terms of women's confidence, self-esteem, and feelings about their body (Ambwani & Strauss, 2007).

However, although many similarities may exist between same-sex and heterosexual relationships, there are also many differences (Peplau, Fingerhut, & Beals, 2004). In a social context where heterosexual relationships are normative, nonheterosexual women have reported that a freedom from gender roles (for women who do not perform butch/femme roles), the unique effects of prejudice, and heightened intimacy and friendship with their partner are the defining features of their same-sex relationships (Peplau et al., 2004; Rose & Zand, 2000). Therefore, same-sex relationships may shape women's feelings about their body and appearance in unique ways.

Two studies (both U.S.-based) have identified same-sex relationships as being important in shaping lesbian and bisexual women's feelings about their body and appearance. Lesbians have described how their female partner's attraction to them and acceptance of their body size have encouraged positive feelings about their own appearance (Beren, Hayden, Wilfley, & Striegel-Moore, 1997). These same women began to apply different standards of beauty to themselves once they realized that they were attracted to women who did not necessarily conform to mainstream ideals (Beren et al., 1997).

Similarly, Taub's (1999) research with bisexual women concluded that some women feel protected from social appearance pressures when in same-sex relationships and vulnerable to these pressures when in relationships with men. Taub described how perceptions of a same-sex partner's intimacy with, and acceptance of, their body shape encouraged some of these women to feel more comfortable with their appearance. However, she also described how some participants felt a need to change their appearance in order to be attractive to women, suggesting that same-sex relationships may be linked to unique appearance concerns. Such concerns

could include a need to conform to appearance norms prevalent within lesbian communities in order to "fit in" and be acknowledged as nonheterosexual (Clarke & Turner, 2007).

The Present Study

In summary, existing (qualitative) research suggests that same-sex relationships may positively shape women's feelings about their body and appearance, but that there may also be unique appearance pressures within such relationships. Our study is part of the qualitative phase of a broader mixed-methods program of research into lesbian and bisexual women's "body image" (Huxley, 2010; Huxley, Clarke, & Halliwell, 2011a, 2011b) and was guided by two similar (phenomenologically oriented) qualitative approaches: experiential thematic analysis (TA; Braun & Clarke, 2006) and Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis (IPA; Smith, Flowers, & Larkin, 2009). Both of these approaches are concerned with making sense of people's lived experiences and the meanings people attach to their experiences. Both assume that people are self-reflective beings capable of reflecting on and making meaning of their experiences. Thus these methods are appropriate for a study that aims to "give voice" to nonheterosexual women's feelings about their body and appearance in the context of their partner relationships.

Smith and colleagues (2009) recommend in-depth semistructured interviews as the ideal method for research with an experiential focus. For this reason, we selected face-toface semistructured interviews as the method of data collection to permit scope for participants to talk about what was important to them while allowing the possibility of comparison and the identification of themes across the data set. IPA has a strong idiographic focus and a commitment to understanding the detail of individual experience. Smith et al. also recommend the collection of relatively small, purposively selected, and homogenous samples to allow the researcher to maintain a focus on the details of individual experiences, as well as to identify common themes across a data set. Whereas Smith et al. recommend the use of samples as small as three, they argue that "there is no right answer to the question of sample size" (p. 51). We generated what is a relatively large sample in IPA terms—a sample of 15 women—because we were interested in identifying common themes in nonheterosexual women's experiences (with the aim of informing future research in the area) as well as focusing on the detail of individual experiences.

Method

Participants and Recruitment

The first author conducted interviews with 15 women primarily living in urban areas within the United Kingdom (see the Appendix for information about each interviewee). Although the women ranged in age from 18 to 69 years, most (10 women) were aged 30 years or younger. The women were

asked to choose their own pseudonym, to describe their sexuality in their own words (these terms are used to describe participants when directly quoting from the interviews), and to provide details about their current relationship status. Across the women, 10 were currently in a partner relationship (7 with other women [of these 7, there were two couples in the study] and 3 with men) and 5 were single. All participants had experienced at least one same-sex relationship, and most (8 lesbian/gay and all 4 bisexual women) had also experienced, and spoke about, relationships with men. Participants not currently in a relationship were asked to reflect on their previous or most important relationship when answering questions, although all participants tended to draw from their experiences across several different relationships.

We used recruitment strategies that are widely used in LGBTQ (lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer) research—advertising in LGBTQ community organizations and groups and "snowball sampling" (Clarke, Ellis, Peel, & Riggs, 2010). The resulting sample was diverse in locality and profession. However, the women were all able-bodied White women, who were mostly middle-class (n = 9) and educated to undergraduate degree level or higher (n = 10), and most identified as lesbian (n = 9).

On enquiring about the study, potential participants were informed about the nature of the interviews. They were also told that the interviewer, supervised by a nonheterosexual woman (the second author), was a heterosexual woman who was committed to nonheterosexist and inclusive research, following guidance for both nonheterosexist research (e.g., Herek, Kimmel, Amaro, & Melton, 1991) and for researchers conducting research with people outside their own social groups (e.g., McClennen, 2003; Wheeler, 2003). In her qualitative study of lesbians' body image concerns, heterosexual feminist researcher Nancy Asher (Asher & Asher, 1999) found that the disclosure of her heterosexuality helped with the development of rapport and the creation of trust between the participants and herself. Similarly, we found that openness about the interviewer's sexuality was appreciated by the women and that this honesty helped to build a connection between the participants and the researcher.

The interviewer's "outsider" position made it easy for her to ask "naive" questions (Morrow, 2005) when participants may have assumed that an "insider" had prior knowledge. Conversely, because of her lack of experiential knowledge of lesbian communities, it is possible that during the interviews she did not follow up on certain issues that a nonheterosexual researcher would have identified as important to discuss. However, there are many subtle ways in which a researcher can be an outsider or an insider (Hellawell, 2006) because many personal and social characteristics intersect to form our identities (Crenshaw, 1993). Consequently, a researcher can simultaneously be both an insider and an outsider (Hellawell, 2006). In the current study, the interviewer was also an insider because she was of a similar age to most participants and a White, middle-class woman who shared

many of the concerns the participants had about their bodies. Informal discussion with participants after their interviews suggested that they had enjoyed "educating" a heterosexual woman about their lives.

Interview Guide and Procedure

The interview guide was developed from a review of the literature and our own interests in conducting the study (the guide was reviewed and slightly revised after the first few interviews). The women were asked about how they thought their (same-sex and heterosexual) relationships had shaped their feelings about their body and appearance and whether they felt influenced by social expectations or stereotypes about same-sex relationships. Existing research into the social cognitive construct of "body image" does not distinguish between the clothed and unclothed body, however, influenced by previous qualitative research on appearance (Beren et al., 1997; Clarke & Turner, 2007; Taub, 1999), we felt it was important in our questions to distinguish between women's feelings about their (unclothed) body and their feelings about their appearance. Following a review of the guide after the first few interviews, it was apparent that this was a meaningful (and helpful) distinction for the women. Example questions from the interview guide include: "Do you think that your feelings about your partner's body affect the way you feel about your body?" and "Has a partner ever commented on your appearance?"

Interviews took place in locations selected by the participants (generally their homes) and lasted between 45 and 90 min. Each interview was digitally recorded and transcribed orthographically (by the first author).

Analysis

Although both IPA and TA focus on making sense of individual experiences (Braun & Clarke, 2006; Smith et al., 2009), TA places a stronger emphasis on locating individual experiences within a broader sociocultural context, which we think is important for a study concerned with the experiences and perspectives of a socially marginalized group. Although our analysis of the data is "inductive"—in the sense that it is data-driven, rather than theory-driven—and aims to stay close to participants' language, concepts, and sense-making practices, our analysis is also informed by critical feminist and queer analyses of the patriarchal and heteronormative social context in which women live. Thus, our analysis invokes both a hermeneutics of empathy (the attempt to understand participants' experiences on their own terms) and a hermeneutics of suspicion (using theoretical concepts such as "heteronormativity"—to make sense of participants' experiences; Smith et al., 2009, p. 106). The analytic procedures of IPA and TA (Braun & Clarke, 2006) are very similar and center on a process of immersion in the data set, reading and rereading the entries before developing codes (or "initial

comments" in IPA terminology), and organizing codes into themes and subthemes. However, IPA procedures require that each case is analyzed individually before themes are sought across cases in order to maintain an idiographic focus, whereas Braun and Clarke (2006) recommend that each stage of analysis is conducted across the entire data set. Because our primary emphasis is on themes/commonalities across the data set, rather than the detail of individual experiences, we elected to follow the TA process of coding and generating themes across the data set. (With the IPA case-by-case approach, there is a risk that the codes and themes generated for the first case become the lens through which the entire data set is viewed and interpreted.) The first author read and reread the transcripts, generated codes, and then organized these codes into initial themes. This initial analysis was reviewed and refined with the second and third authors. When we use direct quotes from participants, we signal our editing of the quote (such as deletion of pauses or stuttering) with a bracketed gap.

In our analysis, we report the numbers of women who commented on a particular issue (at the request of the editors). However, these numbers should be interpreted with a degree of caution. Because of the semistructured and (to some extent) participant-led nature of the interviews, the participants were not asked exactly the same questions, although all of the main topics were discussed with each participant. Therefore, it should not be assumed, for example, when we report that "six women thought that appearance was not as integral to same-sex relationships as it was to heterosexual relationships" (see below), that the remaining women thought the opposite. It may be that only some women discussed a particular issue or raised a particular point in their interviews.

Results

We generated seven themes from the data. We begin by exploring the importance of a woman's appearance in both same-sex and heterosexual relationships and then shift our focus to perceived acceptance and understanding of body-related concerns within same-sex relationships. Next, we discuss same-sex attractions, body-related comparisons between female partners, and "transference" of body-related feelings within same-sex relationships. We next address how stereotypical expectations of same-sex relationships shape women's feelings about their appearance. Finally, we focus on possible concern about men's opinions.

There was much more discussion about the influence of same-sex relationships on the women's feelings about their body and appearance, possibly because seven of the women were currently involved in such relationships whereas only three were in relationships with men. Across the women's accounts, there were few examples of ways in which male partners shaped positive feelings toward women's appearance so that men's influence was experienced as largely negative.

Woman's Appearance in Partner Relationships

Although not specifically asked whether their appearance was important in their relationships, many of the women commented on this topic in relation to both their same-sex and heterosexual relationships. Six women thought that appearance was not as integral to same-sex relationships as it was to heterosexual relationships. Those who stressed this belief reported feeling fairly happy with their body shape and size. However, nine women (notably those who had current, or previous, concerns about their body size and shape) were aware of how feeling attractive to their partner boosted their confidence. These women indicated that although appearance was not the most important feature of same-sex relationships, it did play a role in terms of physical attraction.

In contrast, 11 of the 12 women who had engaged in relationships with men experienced expectations and pressures to be "attractive" to their partner. Attractiveness often involved being feminine and "sexy" and trying to attain a slender yet curvy figure. Three of these women reported that both men and women were socialized to expect women to look a particular way in order to be attractive to men:

That's what society teaches us from quite an early age, that women are how they are because we're trying to attract men... So I think that society enforces those stereotypes for men and women. And so, on the whole, yes I think there is more pressure ... in being with a man, and almost not through any fault of the individuals involved. (Isabel, 30-year-old bi woman)

These women felt that pressure to be attractive within heterosexual relationships was mainly implicit because partners subtly encouraged the women to wear revealing, feminine clothes through praise and compliments. There was little discussion of male partners directly trying to manipulate the women's appearance; however, two women had experienced weight-related taunting or teasing from male partners. For example, Rachel (62-year-old lesbian) thought that her ex-husband would make disparaging comments about her body shape: "at times when he wanted to ... humiliate me."

In contrast, two bisexual participants thought that men were actually less critical ("not fussy") and judgmental of women's bodies and appearance than women who used cultural beauty ideals as standards by which to judge or compare themselves to other women:

men are much more ... forgiving about women's bodies and women are very critical of each other's bodies ... Men don't really care a lot of the time to be honest, I don't think ... I think women think much, men are much more critical of their bodies than they actually are (Sookie, 47-year-old bisexual woman)

Laura suggested that pressures in heterosexual relationships stemmed from women's perceptions of men's narrow expectations of what the female body should look like. She argued that such expectations have been created and sustained through societal ideals and media portrayals of female beauty, but do not necessarily reflect individual men's actual preferences: "I think if you're bi you [...], or lesbian, have a broader appreciation of women's bodies yourself, and you maybe don't go for the kind of Barbie-doll type, you know, stereotype that men are meant to go for" (Laura, 27-yearold bisexual woman). Laura's comments relate to ideas associated with Fredrickson and Robert's (1997) objectification theory: that under an appraising male gaze, women are taught to self-objectify by evaluating their attractiveness against current (heteronormative) ideals. Laura suggested that lesbian and bisexual women are aware that diverse body shapes, sizes, and appearances are attractive and that beauty is not limited to young, thin, feminine women.

Acceptance and Understanding

Seven women thought that female partners showed a unique understanding of, and sympathy for, body-focused anxieties. These women perceived a degree of shared appearancerelated experiences between themselves and their female partner, including social pressure to conform to mainstream ideals (particularly around body size) and "natural" bodily changes or processes such as menstrual-related bloating. In the view of these women, such mutual experiences created a unique sense of understanding and empathy between partners. Jolim (27-year-old lesbian) thought that women were able to understand each others' needs when voicing dissatisfaction with their appearance; they do not necessarily want reassurance, compliments, or solutions to "the problem," they just want someone to listen to them. This perception of shared understandings helped to foster a sense of acceptance within their relationships, which encouraged the women to be less anxious about their body size and appearance:

Well there's bound to be much greater empathy, isn't there? Because, because, you know, she's got the same sort of body as me, in terms of sort of gender and general overall things [...] I think there's more empathy, more, kind of, understanding about issues and problems and how you feel and so on in, in a way that I never experienced in all my, kind of, relationships with men... (Sylvia, 49-year-old lesbian)

Jolim, however, thought that gender sameness was not enough, and that a partner's body size influenced their capacity for understanding and empathy. She felt that partners who were a similar size as herself (she described herself as "overweight") could understand her body anxieties more than slimmer partners could because they experienced the same societal pressures to lose weight. Although Tove (37-year-old lesbian) accepted that there is a *potential* for increased understanding between women, she suggested that such

perceptions could be inaccurate and that it should not be assumed that other women have experienced similar emotions or anxieties about their body.

In contrast, six women were critical of male partners because they felt that they could not comprehend or sympathize with their body-related concerns in the way that female partners could. Research suggests that men view women's body image concerns as being far less severe and damaging than women themselves do (Bosson, Pinel, & Thompson, 2008), which may account for this lack of sympathy. These women also thought that male partners were often critical about the "natural" changes in women's bodies that female partners were seen to understand.

Same-Sex Attractions

Attractions to other women had a positive influence on five participants' feelings about their body. These women felt that their negative feelings about their own body size were ameliorated by their appreciation of curves, diversity in body shape and size, and attractions to women who they perceived to be larger than themselves. Such attractions somewhat negated the validity of cultural beauty ideals:

... when I got into a relationship with a woman, then I became much, much, much more relaxed about my body because she was fucking gorgeous and she wasn't super skinny, so you just click that she's gorgeous, she's got a tummy and she's got a bum but she's gorgeous [...] so why am I making such a fuss about having a bit of a tummy? (Isabel, 30-year-old bi woman)

At the same time, five women thought that larger female bodies were not "attractive," contradicting the notion that a diversity of body sizes and shapes are accepted within lesbian subcultures (Myers, Taub, Morris, & Rothblum, 1999). The notion of healthy body weight also ran through three of these women's accounts and was particularly noticeable when they discussed the "health implications" (Philios, 22-year-old lesbian) of themselves or a partner being "large." From the women's standpoint, they were concerned about weight and body size from a health perspective rather than an aesthetic perspective. Indeed, this emphasis on "healthy" body weights was presented as a resistance to the cultural idealization of thinness:

I'm all for people not being overweight [...] when it comes to sort of obesity and things I see that more of a health issue than an image issue, and I wouldn't see that as an issue about someone's appearance I'd see it as an issue about their health generally and, and that would not be something that I'd find attractive at all. (Laura, 27-year-old bisexual woman)

However, because thin, toned, "fat-free" bodies are widely equated to physical health (Burns & Gavey, 2004), it could

be argued that these women still subscribed to the cultural imperative for thinness.

Comparisons Between Same-Sex Partners

Most of the women were keenly aware of the potential for body and appearance comparisons between same-sex partners. Ten participants indicated this process by actually comparing their body size, or a particular body part, to that of their partner's. Some of these women felt more confident in their appearance if they were slimmer, or more selfconscious if they were larger, than their partner:

... it's like, you know, obviously having female, constant female friends and because they're more, you're more intimate with each other, so you know every-, er ... every bit about them, and it's a comparison thing, isn't it? Erm, [my recent ex-girlfriends were] very, very slim, I suppose that's made me always feel bigger. 'Cos I know when I've been with other people who are either taller than me, or a little bit bigger, it's made me feel like a skinny runt. (Sally, 25-year-old lesbian)

Jolim (27-year-old lesbian) called such comparisons and concerns about body-size discrepancies between partners, a "lesbian thing." However, only one participant, Philios (22-year-old lesbian), who emphatically denied experiencing body anxieties despite reporting disliking her "too skinny" U.K. size eight (U.S.-size four) frame, acknowledged that she was aware of partners comparing themselves to her.

"Transference" and Influence

Five women thought that female partners directly influenced their feelings about their body through seemingly non-intentional transference of their partner's body-related emotions. Positive feelings and body confidence were passed between partners, just as negative feelings and bodily anxieties were. Such transference often focused on the women's feelings about their body size, with a partner's "relaxed" approach to her body encouraging them to relax about their own body size.

The time and effort the women's partners spent on their appearance also seemed to rub off on them. For example, Louise (27-year-old lesbian) recalled how her ex-girlfriend spent less time and effort on her clothing and appearance than she did. Louise started to do the same as she felt uncomfortable with the discrepancy between her partner's "scruffiness" and her effort to look "smart." Partners also influenced these women's appearance through sharing clothes and imitating admired hair styles. The women contrasted such influence with a need for individuality and to look distinctive, particularly when a physical similarity became apparent and partners began to look alike:

When in a, in a lesbian relationship [...] you start looking the same. Which is worrying, erm ... er, you start, I think because you're around each other you, you're borrowing each other's clothes possibly [...] and you do, you start buying really, really similar clothing and you do have to stop yourself and go "no, we've got identical clothing, just slightly different sizes." (Philios, 22-year-old lesbian)

Philios' comments echo the popular cultural notion that lesbian couples "merge" together and begin to look alike (Burch, 1982).

Stereotypical Expectations

Six women's feelings about their appearance were affected by (predominantly heterosexual) others' stereotypical expectations about the gender expression of partners in same-sex relationships. The notion that all lesbian relationships conform to butch/femme dress codes and roles was often referenced. Five participants cited incidents where they or their partner had been called "butch" or "the man," and were assumed to fulfill a "male" role within their relationship, based on the degree of masculinity/femininity of their appearance. Tara (23-year-old gay woman) had frequently encountered the assumption made by both other lesbians and heterosexual friends that she was looking for a "butch" partner because of her "very feminine" appearance: "Oh I do get lots of, like, butch women coming up to me because they think I'm very feminine, that's what I'm, that's what I'm looking for [...] even in the gay community, erm, there's that stereotype there, definitely, yeah."

Although nine women reported that others' assumptions did not cause anxiety for them, six became conscious about how they dressed and how they would be perceived in public space with their partner. Five of these women reported not wanting to be perceived as butch, which translated into pressure to ensure they did not look masculine, did not conform to butch appearance norms that are often popular within lesbian communities (Clarke & Turner, 2007; Rothblum, 1994), and did not desire butch-appearing women. The women did not offer to explain their resistance to looking butch or desiring butch women, except to say that they liked women who "looked like women," "not women who looked like men" (Louise, 27-year-old lesbian). Within Western society, nonnormative sexual identities, gender identities, and gender expression are marginalized and devalued, and negative social constructions of butch lesbians include the notion that they are "aping men" (Levitt & Hiestand, 2004, p. 617). Louise reflects this notion by suggesting that butch women look "like men" rather than embodying a certain lesbian style. These women seemed to distinguish between *looking* butch and *being* butch: they thought that if people saw them as masculine, then others would assume they performed a male role within the relationship.

In contrast, throughout the interviews there was no explicit discussion of femme visual identities and appearance norms.

However, some women did discuss their clothing and hairstyles in terms of femininity (e.g., their feelings about skirts, dresses, make-up, and long hair, all of which were seen as "feminine" aspects of appearance). The women's accounts of their appearance and visual sexual identities reflected the invisibility of femme women in lesbian communities (Lev, 2008).

Six women highlighted the importance of wearing clothes in which they felt comfortable, rather than what simply looked "good." For these women, the term "comfortable" reflected both physical comfort, and, as Ruth Holliday (1999, p. 481) has described, the comfort derived from the "degree of fit between the outside of one's body and its inside ... the 'imaged' or 'true' self." However, Helen (30-year-old lesbian) felt a tension between wanting to wear "comfortable" clothes while simultaneously wanting to deter both heterosexual people from making judgments about her role within her relationship with another woman (by not appearing too butch) and lesbian women from questioning her authenticity as a lesbian (by not appearing too femme). Ultimately, Helen wore clothes that she thought communicated a message about her lesbian identity, but in which she felt less comfortable:

I actually feel there's pressure not to [wear feminine clothes], being with a, being with a female. 'Cos I, I actually quite like, wear-, if I'm dressing smart, I'll wear a skirt as opposed to trousers [...] and I actually find it quite difficult if I want to go out with [my girlfriend], that I have to think about, hang-on if someone were going to, going to make a judgment about me'cause I'm a lesbian wearing a skirt, that I'm, not, I'm just experimenting, I'm not really a lesbian that, erm, that I'm "the female" and she's "the male," that whole stereotypical opinion that people have.

When Isabel (30-year-old bi woman) was in a same-sex relationship, she experienced pressures to conform to lesbian appearance norms, which she did not experience in relationships with men. Conformity to lesbian appearance norms is one way for women to gain recognition and acceptance within lesbian communities (Clarke & Turner, 2007). Such desires may be accentuated for bisexual women, who can often feel alienated within lesbian social space (Bower, Gurevich, & Mathieson, 2002; Gurevich, Bower, Mathieson, & Dhayanandhan, 2007). Like Helen, Isabel may have felt a need to be seen as authentic and not simply "experimenting."

Two participants were getting married—the term they used to describe their civil partnership (a civil partnership is a form of legal recognition for same-sex relationships in the United Kingdom that involves similar rights and responsibilities as marriage, but is not named as marriage; Clarke, Burgoyne, & Burns, 2007)—to each other a few weeks after their interviews. Both independently mentioned how they had delayed making the decision to get married because they did not know what to wear for the ceremony. Same-sex couples

often reference heterosexual social norms in discussing their relationship, particularly when describing traditionally heterosexual institutions such as marriage (Clarke et al., 2007). These women initially felt constrained by the lack of social norms for dress at same-sex weddings, and they referred to heterosexual bridal traditions in their deliberations. Eventually, however, they found the absence of expectations to be liberating: "We're having a completely unconventional wedding in a lot of ways [...] we're having the wedding we want and I can dress, I can wear what I like!" (Sylvia, 49-year-old lesbian).

Concern About Men's Opinions

The women who had experienced a number of relationships with men indicated that their degree of concern about men's opinion influenced whether they would engage in mainstream feminine beauty practices and body shaping behaviors. This discussion was particularly noticeable in the bisexual women's accounts, and concern about men's opinions reflected how anxious they were about being the subject of the male gaze. Objectification theory states that women's concern about the male gaze is connected to their desire to conform to cultural ideals of female beauty (Fredrickson & Roberts, 1997). For example, Mae (18-year-old bisexual woman) stressed that she wanted to be perceived as "pretty" by men and feel accepted within mainstream society, and consequently she experienced more pressure to look "good" for men than she did for women.

The three other bisexual women, however, felt more committed to lesbian communities than to mainstream society, and they expressed little concern about being favorably assessed by men. Their lack of commitment to mainstream society was associated with a critical awareness of pressures to be "attractive" and a resistance to societal beauty ideals and practices. This apparent lack of concern about the critical male gaze did not always result in complete rejection of all beauty ideals, however, as Isabel (30-year-old bi woman), who was not explicitly asked about the male gaze, suggested that a small part of her does still care:

I wouldn't be trying to catch the gaze of men, and in fact I would actually avoid ... looking at men, I think. So I, I couldn't give a shit about their approval. [But] I'm sure there is a part of me that still does. I tried to stop shaving my armpits, and I found it very, very difficult, [...] I obviously do care about that gaze on some level or I wouldn't have that issue with, with stopping shaving my armpits.

For the lesbian women, concern about *women's* opinion was related to adoption of lesbian appearance norms and rejection of mainstream beauty practices. These women were most conscious of their appearance when they were looking to meet a female partner, or were spending time in lesbian social space. They felt nervous that their appearance would be

(negatively) evaluated if they did not appear to conform to appearance mandates and that they would be refused access to lesbian social space (Clarke & Turner, 2007). They felt compelled then to spend time and effort on their appearance to ensure that they both looked "good" and met expectations around their appearance, when they were spending time in lesbian space.

Obviously when you're dealing with a group that's concerned about sexuality, you can't get away from the fact that people are there to meet other people. It's not just for the support, but a lot of people go for meat market, so just to pick up someone, or, you know, to find someone there. I did it. [...] Erm, so you always want to try and look good, in case someone nice comes along. (Pat, 27-year-old lesbian)

Discussion

Our research demonstrates the complexity of the relationship between lesbian and bisexual women's partner relationships and their feelings about their body and appearance. Our analvsis highlights how both the lesbian subcultural and the wider mainstream social contexts of same-sex relationships can shape women's feelings about their body and appearance. The 11 lesbians in our study described how their partners' and other people's expectations of and assumptions about their relationships had the potential to influence their body and appearance-related feelings. Differences were identified by these women in the social appearance pressures experienced within same-sex and heterosexual relationships, with some women experiencing pressure to look feminine and "sexy" in relationships with men, but to conform to lesbian appearance norms when in relationships with women. However, all women expressed unease with butch appearances, denying attractions to butch women.

The four bisexual participants we interviewed reported similar influences on their feelings about their body and appearance as the lesbian women. However, these bisexual women were more aware of the ways in which male partners shaped their feelings about their body and appearance. Some of the bisexual women appeared to experience a tension between desires to be seen as attractive by men and their resistance to mainstream beauty norms. This conflict tentatively suggests that these women may experience unique appearance pressures. The degree of their concern about the male gaze may shape this tension, although a lack of concern was not sufficient for them to reject all mainstream beauty norms and practices. Three bisexual women were currently in different-sex relationships and one desired a male partner. These current attractions to (specific) men could explain why these women felt unable to completely reject conformity to the appearance norms that men (more broadly) are purported to find desirable.

Our analysis, then, suggests that the theoretical debate between Brown (1987) and Dworkin (1988) is too simplistic.

Same-sex relationships cannot be described either as being protective of women's feelings about their body and appearance (Brown) or as having no protective influence on women's experiences of prevalent cultural norms and expectations (Dworkin). It appears that both positions have some currency, and a synthesized explanation should be explored in future research. It is possible that the relevance of each stance varies between individual women and their partners: if a woman's experiences of same-sex relationships and the associated unique appearance pressures are more positive than negative, then she may be protected via a "buffering effect" (Sabik, Cole, & Ward, 2010). However, if her experiences are primarily negative (e.g., if she frequently engages in body-based comparisons with her partner), then no protective "buffer" may be provided.

For bisexual women, the picture may be even more complex because other influences (such as the degree of their concern about the male gaze and commitment to lesbian communities) may also shape their feelings about their body and appearance. The findings from our study suggest that bisexual women's experiences may be unique and thus are deserving of further academic attention in their own right.

Limitations of the Study

Like other researchers studying LGBTQ populations, we experienced great difficulty in recruiting bisexual women (Hayfield, 2011). We were only able to recruit four bisexual women, three of whom were in monogamous relationships with men and one was single (and desired such a relationship). Although these women expressed many similar opinions, there were also some divergences, particularly related to their experiences of same-sex relationships, which could not be further explored in the interviews due to the small number of women taking part. There is very little qualitative research on bisexual women's feelings about their body and appearance (and bisexual women tend to be ignored, or deliberately excluded, from quantitative research on body image; e.g., Strong et al., 2000; Wagenbach, 2003) so we echo existing calls for further research in this area (Beren et al., 1997; Clarke & Turner, 2007). Research with bisexual women in same-sex (and polyamorous) relationships could provide particularly unique insights.

Our participants were predominantly young, White, middle-class women who are often ubiquitous within research on nonheterosexual populations (Morris & Rothblum, 1999). This limitation may result from purposive and snowball methods of recruitment (Dunne, 1997). Although these methods resulted in recruitment of participants both locally (within South-West England) and nationally, it is extremely difficult to recruit samples that include a wide range and diversity of women within nonheterosexual communities (Clarke & Peel, 2007). Feminist sociologist Taylor's (2007) research on working-class lesbians showed how lesbian social space is seen as "middle-class" by working-class

women and inclusion is based on conformity to specific visual cues. Many of the lesbians in the current study felt a connection to lesbian communities and a desire to be accepted there. Research with working-class lesbians may reveal different findings about ways in which partner relationships shape women's feelings about their body and appearance.

In terms of race and culture, evidence suggests that Black, Latina, Asian, and other racially marginalized lesbians experience tension between specific cultural appearance norms and the typically White beauty ideals of lesbian communities (Lyle, Jones, & Drakes, 1999). Quantitative research has demonstrated that although Black women are less likely to accept and "internalize" White beauty ideals than White women (Jefferson & Stake, 2009), they are still vulnerable to a preoccupation with their weight and dieting (Mitchell & Mazzeo, 2009). Together with cultural variations in gender expression within same-sex relationships (Blackwood, 1999; Elliston, 1999), it could be argued that race and culture may play a significant role in affecting how partner relationships are connected to women's feelings about their body and appearance. It is important to fully understand how sexuality and race may affect women's feelings about their body and appearance, and we suggest that this is an area in need of further research.

The researcher's explicit openness about her heterosexuality may have influenced some women's choices to participate in the study. Although heterosexual researchers have argued that explicit disclosure of their heterosexuality was beneficial during the recruitment of lesbians (e.g., Asher & Asher, 1999), a number of openly lesbian researchers have reported that some lesbians were only willing to take part in their research because they had explicitly "outed" themselves as nonheterosexual (Clarke, Kitzinger, & Potter, 2004; Dunne, 1997; Kitzinger, 1987). In the current study, four women

made initial inquiries about the research but failed to respond after they had received further information which included the researcher's sexuality, and it is possible that this disclosure deterred them from participating.

Conclusion

Our research gives voice to a group of women currently underrepresented in both qualitative and quantitative research on women's feelings about their body and appearance. The lesbian and bisexual women in our study described how their same-sex relationships were a source of both body-focused comfort and concern, highlighting the complexity of the connections between women's partner relationships and their feelings about their body and appearance. Positive descriptions of empathy toward body-focused and appearance concerns as well as diversity within same-sex attractions suggest that women's same-sex relationships have the potential to encourage women to feel happier with their bodies. Sociocultural appearance pressures are becoming ever more detrimental to women's psychological and physical health (Thompson, Heinberg, Altabe, & Tantleff-Dunn, 2002), and much could be learned from same-sex relationships (Dunne, 1999) about how all women could be protected from body and appearance concerns.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no conflicts of interest with respect to the authorship and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: The research reported in this paper was funded by a University of the West of England, School of Life Sciences PhD bursary.

Huxley et al. 425

AppendixParticipants' Demographic Information

Name	Age (years)	Sexuality	Gender	Ethnicity	Disability	Highest Qualification	Occupation	Class	Current Partner	Relationship Length	Children (Number)
Holly	69	G	W	W-B/I	No	No	Ret	Wo	F	8 years	2
Tara	23	G	F	W-B/I	Yes ^a	Ma	Emp	Mi	S	N/A	0
Helen	30	L	F	W-B/I	No	Ma	Emp	Wo	F	9 months	0
Jolim	27	L	F	W-B(M)	No	AL	Stu	a	F	I month	0
Louise	27	L	F	W-B/I	No	AL	Emp	Mi	S	N/A	0
Pat	27	L	W	W-B/I	No	Deg	Emp	Wo	F	8 months	0
Philios	22	L	F	W-B/I	No	Deg	Emp	Mi	S	N/A	0
Rachel	62	L	F	J-E	No	PhD	Emp	Mi	F	8 years 6 months	2
Sally	25	L	F	W-B/I	No	Deg	Emp	Mi	S	N/A	0
Sylvia	49	L	F	J-E	No	PhD	Emp	Mi	F	8 years 6 months	2
Tove	37	L	F	W-B/I	No	Deg	Emp	Mi	F	10 years	0
Isabel	30	Bi	W	W-B/I	No	Deg	Stu	Mi	М	2 years 3 months	0
Laura	27	В	F	W-B/I	No	Ma	Emp	Mi	M	5 years	0
Mae	18	В	F	W-B/I	No	AL	Stu .	a	S	N/A	0
Sookie	47	В	U	W-B/I	Yes ^c	a	Stu	Un	M	20 years	I

Note. Codes for entries are: Sexuality (G, Gay; L, Lesbian; Bi, Bi; B, Bisexual); Gender (W, Woman; F, Female; U, Undecided); Ethnicity (W-B/I, White British/Irish; W-B(M), White British (Mixed); J-E, Jewish/European Jewish); Highest Qualification (No, No qualifications; AL, A-Level; Deg, Degree; Ma, Masters; PhD, Doctorate); Occupation (Emp, Paid/Self employment; Ret, Retired; Stu, Student); Class (Wo, Working; Mi, Middle, Un, Undecided); and Current Partner (M, Male; F, Female; S, Single).

References

Ambwani, S., & Strauss, J. (2007). Love thyself before loving others? A qualitative and quantitative analysis of gender differences in body image and romantic love. *Sex Roles*, 56, 13–21.

Asher, N. S., & Asher, K. C. (1999). Qualitative methods for an outsider looking in: Lesbian women and body image. In M. Kopala & L. A. Suzuki (Eds.), *Using qualitative methods in psychology* (pp. 135–144). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Befort, C., Robinson, S. E. K., Hullbanks, E., Nicpon, M. F., Huser, L., & Sollenberger, S. (2001). Body image, self-esteem, and weight-related criticism from romantic partners. *Journal of College Student Development*, 42, 407–419.

Beren, S. E., Hayden, H. A., Wilfley, D. E., & Striegel-Moore, R. H. (1997). Body dissatisfaction among lesbian college students: The conflict of straddling mainstream and lesbian cultures. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 21, 431–445.

Blackwood, E. (1999). Tombois in West Sumatra: Constructing masculinity and erotic desire. In E. Blackwood & S. E. Wieringa (Eds.), Female desires: Same-sex relationships and transgender practices across cultures (pp. 181–205). New York, NY: Columbia University Press.

Bordo, S. (1993). *Unbearable weight: Feminism, Western culture, and the body*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Bosson, J. K., Pinel, E. C., & Thompson, J.K. (2008). The affective consequences of minimizing women's body image concerns. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, *32*, 257–266.

Bower, J., Gurevich, M., & Mathieson, C. (2002). (Con)tested identities: Bisexual women reorient sexuality. *Journal of Bisexu*ality, 2, 23–52.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, *3*, 77–101.

Brown, L. S. (1987). Lesbians, weight, and eating: New analyses and perspectives. In the Boston Lesbian Psychologies Collective (Ed.), *Lesbian psychologies: Explorations and challenges* (pp. 294–259). Urbana-Champaign: University of Illinois Press.

Burch, B. (1982). Psychological merger in lesbian couples: A joint ego psychological and systems approach. *Family Therapy*, *9*, 201–208.

Burns, M., & Gavey, N. (2004). 'Healthy weight' at what cost? 'Bulimia' and a discourse of weight control. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 9, 549–565.

Clarke, V., Burgoyne, C., & Burns, M. (2007). Romance, rights, recognition, responsibilities and radicalism: Same-sex couples' views on civil partnership and marriage. In V. Clarke & E. Peel (Eds.), Out in psychology: Lesbian, gay, bisexual, trans and queer perspectives (pp. 173–193). Chichester, UK: Wiley.

a no (additional) data provided.

^ь n/а.

^c Dyslexia.

- Clarke, V., Ellis, S. J., Peel, E., & Riggs, D. W. (2010). Lesbian, gay, bisexual, trans and queer psychology: An introduction. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Clarke, V., Kitzinger, C., & Potter, J. (2004). 'Kids are just cruel anyway': Lesbian and gay parents' talk about homophobic bullying. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 4, 531–550.
- Clarke, V., & Peel, E. (Eds.). (2007). *Out in psychology: Lesbian, gay, bisexual, trans and queer perspectives.* Chichester, UK: Wiley.
- Clarke, V., & Turner, K. (2007). Clothes maketh the queer? Dress, appearance and the construction of lesbian, gay and bisexual identities. *Feminism & Psychology*, 17, 267–276.
- Crawley, S. L. (2002). "They still don't understand why I hate wearing dresses!" An autoethnographic rant on dresses, boats and butchness. *Cultural Studies* ↔ *Critical Methodologies*, 2, 69–92.
- Crenshaw, K. (1993). Mapping the margins: Intersectionality, identity politics, and violence against women of color. *Stanford Law Review*, 43, 1241–1279.
- Davis, M., & Kennedy, E. L. (1986). Oral history and the study of sexuality in the lesbian community: Buffalo, New York, 1940-1960. Feminist Studies, 12, 7–26.
- Davison, T. E., & McCabe, M. P. (2005). Relationships between men's and women's body image and their psychological, social and sexual functioning. *Sex Roles*, *52*, 463–475.
- Dunne, G. A. (1997). Lesbian lifestyles: Women's work and the politics of sexuality. Basingstoke, UK: MacMillan and University of Toronto Press.
- Dunne, G. A. (1999). *The different dimensions of gay fatherhood:* Exploding the myths. Report to the Economic and Social Research Council. London: London School of Economics.
- Dworkin, S. H. (1988). Not in man's image: Lesbians and the cultural oppression of body image. *Women & Therapy*, 8, 27–39.
- Elliston, D. A. (1999). Negotiating transnational sexual economies: Female mahu and same-sex sexuality in "Tahiti and Her islands." In E. Blackwood & S. E. Wieringa (Eds.), Female desires: Same-sex relationships and transgender practices across cultures (pp. 230–252). New York, NY: Columbia University Press.
- Eves, A. (2004). Queer theory, butch/femme identities and lesbian space. *Sexualities*, 7, 480–496.
- Faderman, L. (1991). Odd girls and twilight lovers: A history of lesbian life in the twentieth century. London: Penguin Books.
- Fredrickson, B. L., & Roberts, T. A. (1997). Objectification theory: Toward understanding women's lived experiences and mental health risks. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, *21*, 173–206.
- Gill, R. (2008). Expand+Empowerment/sexism: Figuring female sexual agency in contemporary advertising. Feminism Psychology, 18, 35–60.
- Gurevich, M., Bower, J., Mathieson, C., & Dhayanandhan, B. (2007). "What do they look like and are they among us?" Bisexuality, (Dis)closure and (Un)viability. In V. Clarke & E. Peel (Eds.), *Out in psychology: Lesbian, gay, bisexual, trans and queer perspectives* (pp. 217–241). Chichester, UK: Wiley.
- Haines, M. E., Erchull, M. J., Liss, M., Turner, D. L., Nelson, J. A., Ramsey, L. R., . . . Hurt, M. M. (2008). Predictors and effects of

- self-objectification in lesbians. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 32, 181–187.
- Halliwell, E., & Dittmar, H. (2006). Associations between appearance-related self-discrepancies and young women's and men's affect, body satisfaction, and emotional eating: A comparison of fixed-item and participant-generated self-discrepancies. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 32, 447–458.
- Hayfield, N. J. (2011). *Bisexual women's visual identities: A feminist mixed-methods exploration* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). University of the West of England, Bristol, UK.
- Hellawell, D. (2006). Inside-out: Analysis of the insider-outsider concept as a heuristic device to develop reflexivity in students doing qualitative research. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 11, 483–494.
- Herek, G. M., Kimmel, D. C., Amaro, H., & Melton, G. B. (1991). Avoiding heterosexist bias in psychological research. *American Psychologist*, 46, 957–963.
- Hill, M. S., & Fischer, A. R. (2008). Examining objectification theory: Lesbian and heterosexual women's experiences with sexualand self-objectification. *Counseling Psychologist*, 36, 745–776.
- Holliday, R. (1999). The comfort of identity. *Sexualities*, 2, 475–491.
- Huxley, C. J. (2010). An exploration of the sociocultural influences affecting lesbian and bisexual women's body image (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). University of the West of England, Bristol, UK.
- Huxley, C. J., Clarke, V., & Halliwell, E. (2011a). "If you've got girly appearance you can't possibly be gay": Lesbian and bisexual women discuss appearance. [Manuscript in preparation].
- Huxley, C. J., Clarke, V., & Halliwell, E. (2011b). Are lesbian and bisexual women 'protected' from sociocultural pressures to be thin? A qualitative study. [Manuscript under submission].
- Jefferson, D. L., & Stake, J. E. (2009). Appearance self-attitudes of African American and European American women: media comparisons and internalization of beauty ideals. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 33, 396–409.
- Kelly, L. (2007). Lesbian body image perceptions: the context of body silence. *Qualitative Health Research*, 17, 873–883.
- Kitzinger, C. (1987). *The social construction of lesbianism*. London, England: Sage.
- Krakauer, I. D., & Rose, S. M. (2002). The impact of group membership on lesbians' physical appearance. *Journal of Lesbian Studies*, 6, 31–43.
- Legenbauer, T., Vocks, S., Schäfer, C., Schütt-Strömel, S., Hiller, W., Wagner, C., ... Vögele, C. (2009). Preference for attractiveness and thinness in a partner: Influence of internalization of the thin ideal and shape/weight dissatisfaction in heterosexual women, heterosexual men, lesbians, and gay men. *Body Image*, 6, 228–234.
- Lev, A. I. (2008). More than surface tension: Femmes in families. *Journal of Lesbian Studies*, 12, 127–144.
- Levitt, H. M., & Hiestand, K. R. (2004). A quest for authenticity: Contemporary butch gender. *Sex Roles*, *50*, 605–621.

Lyle, J., Jones, J., & Drakes, G. (1999). Beauty on the borderland: On being Black lesbian and beautiful. *Journal of Lesbian Studies*, 3, 45–54.

- McClennen, J. C. (2003). Researching gay and lesbian domestic violence: The journey of a non-LGBT researcher. *Journal of Gay & Lesbian Social Services*, 15, 31–45.
- Mitchell, K. S., & Mazzeo, S. E. (2009). Evaluation of a structural model of objectification theory and eating disorder symptomatology among European American and African American undergraduate women. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 33, 384–395.
- Moradi, B., & Huang, Y.-P. (2008). Objectification theory and psychology of women: A decade of advances and future directions. Psychology of Women Quarterly, 32, 377–398.
- Morris, J. F., & Rothblum, E. (1999). Who fills out a "lesbian" questionnaire? The interrelationship of sexual orientation, years "out", disclosure of sexual orientation, sexual experience with women, and participation in the lesbian community. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 23, 537–557.
- Morrow, S. L. (2005). Quality and trustworthiness in qualitative research in counseling psychology. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 52, 250–260.
- Myers, A., Taub, J., Morris, J. F., & Rothblum, E. (1999). Beauty mandates and the appearance obsession: Are lesbian and bisexual women better off? *Journal of Lesbian Studies*, *3*, 15–26.
- Nguyen, A. (2008). Patriarchy, power, and female masculinity. *Journal of Homosexuality*, 55, 665–683.
- Peplau, L. A., Fingerhut, A., & Beals, K. P. (2004). Sexuality in the relationships of lesbians and gay men. In J. H. Harvey, A. Wenzel, & S. Sprecher (Eds.), *The handbook of sexuality in close* relationships (pp. 349–370). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Polimeni, A.-M., Austin, S. B., & Kavanagh, A. M. (2009). Sexual orientation and weight, body image and weight control practices among young Australian women. *Journal of Women's Health*, 18, 355–362.

- Rose, S., & Zand, D. (2000). Lesbian dating and courtship from young adulthood to midlife. *Journal of Gay & Lesbian Social* Services: Issues in Practice, Policy & Research, 11, 77–104.
- Rothblum, E. D. (1994). Transforming lesbian sexuality. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 18, 627–641.
- Sabik, N. J., Cole, E. R., & Ward, L. M. (2010). Are all minority women equally buffered from negative body image? Intraethnic moderators of the buffering hypothesis. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 34, 139–151.
- Smith, J. A., Flowers, P., & Larkin, M. (2009). *Interpretative phenomenological analysis: Theory method and research*. London, England: Sage.
- Strong, S. M., Williamson, D. A., Netemeyer, R. G., & Geer, J. H. (2000). Eating disorder symptoms and concerns about body differ as a function of gender and sexual orientation. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 19, 240–255.
- Taub, J. (1999). Bisexual women and beauty norms: A qualitative examination. In J. C. Cogan & J. M. Erickson (Eds.), *Lesbians*, *levis and lipstick: The meaning of beauty in our lives* (pp. 27–36). New York, NY: The Haworth Press.
- Taylor, Y. (2007). "If your face doesn't fit . . . " The misrecognition of working-class lesbians in scene space. *Leisure Studies*, 26, 161–178.
- Thompson, J. K., Heinberg, L. J., Altabe, M., & Tantleff-Dunn, S. (2002). Exacting beauty: Theory, assessment and treatment of body image disturbance. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Vanska, A. (2005). Why are there no lesbian advertisements? *Feminist Theory*, 6, 67–85.
- Wagenbach, P. (2003). Lesbian body image and eating issues. *Journal of Psychology & Human Sexuality*, 15, 205–227.
- Walker, L. (2001). Looking like what you are: Sexual style, race, and lesbian identity. London, England: New York University Press.
- Wheeler, D. P. (2003). Methodological issues in conducting community-based health and social services research among urban black and African-American LGB populations. *Journal of Gay and Lesbian Social Services*, 15, 65–78.